

Why Customer Experience Needs an AI Agent Control Plane



 **opusresearch**

May 2026

Ian Jacobs, VP & Lead Analyst, Opus Research

Derek Top, Research Director & Principal Analyst, Opus Research

Opus Research, Inc.
893 Hague Ave.
Saint Paul, MN 55104

www.opusresearch.net

Published May 2026 © Opus Research, Inc. All rights reserved.



Why Customer Experience Needs an AI Agent Control Plane

The era of agent sprawl in customer experience has begun, and most enterprises are not ready to coordinate what they've already deployed. Agents from CCaaS, CRM, ERP, and homegrown stacks operate under different rules, different memories, and different ideas about what the customer needs. Without a shared operating layer above them, agentic CX drifts into fragmentation. This Opus Research report introduces the AI agent control plane — a coordinating layer that keeps journey state, identity, policy, knowledge, and evaluation consistent across vendors, channels, and workflows.

We define the five layers of a complete control plane, examine why no single vendor is likely to deliver all of them, map today's market across suite, governance, orchestration, memory, and evaluation vendors, and position the control plane as the operational backbone of Opus Research's Conversation Experience Orchestration (CXO) framework. The report provides practical guidance for CX, IT, and operations leaders preparing to build it.

Table of Contents

- Why Customer Experience Needs an AI Agent Control Plane 3
 - The Rise of Agent Sprawl 3
 - From Orchestration Feature to Operating Layer 3
- A Shared Layer to Keep AI Agents Coordinated 4
 - Why Suite Vendors Cannot Contain the Journey 4
- The Five Layers of an Agentic Control Plane 4
 - Journey and Intent State 6
 - Identity and Consent 7
 - Policy and Guardrails 8
 - Knowledge Governance. 9
 - Evaluation, Audit, and Continuous Testing 10
- Why No Single Vendor Is Likely to Provide the Whole Thing 11
 - Why Interoperability Standards Matter More Than Ever 11
- What's In the Market Now 12**
 - CX, Communications, and Suite Vendors Extending into Control Plane Territory 12
 - GRC-First "Control Planes" 13
 - Agent Orchestration and Runtime Platforms 14
 - Context and Memory Engines 14
 - Evaluation and Testing 15
- What Enterprises Should Do Now 16



Why Customer Experience Needs an AI Agent Control Plane

Imagine a wizard with a spellbook with a cover embossed with glowing runes in one hand and a managerial standard fiberboard 1960s clipboard in the other. To most observers, the spellbook contains the impressive part. It can summon answers, trigger actions, route work, and respond in ways that can feel almost magical.

The clipboard holds the less glamorous part. It dictates which spells are allowed, which ingredients are available, who approved them, and what happens if something goes wrong. Sure, that's a slightly goofy image, but it gets at the problem brands will soon be facing. AI agents are arriving with the promise of magic. Enterprises, however, very much still need the clipboard.

The Rise of Agent Sprawl

The era of agent sprawl in CX has begun. That sprawl can come from several directions at once. A single large vendor may supply multiple agents across its own stack. A CCaaS provider may offer one set of agents, a CRM vendor another, and an ERP platform still more. Many enterprises will also add their own homegrown agents. In some (or most!) cases, the stack will come from many vendors.

Each of these agents may work well enough on its own. That's not the real danger. The danger happens when many of them work at once, under different rules, with different memories, different access rights, different knowledge sources, and different ideas about what the customer actually needs to get done. One agent may know a customer's recent service issue; another may not. One may be allowed to take an action; another may only recommend it. One may rely on current policy; another may pull from stale content. The result won't be intelligent scale. It will be fragmentation with a convincing user interface.

From Orchestration Feature to Operating Layer

This is why orchestration is becoming an operating layer rather than a useful feature tucked into a product demo. Getting an AI agent into production is becoming the easy part. Keeping a growing population of agents aligned across channels, platforms, and workflows, without creating a mess for customers or operators, looks to be the next real challenge. CX leaders will suffer from this problem acutely because customers experience the seams in service immediately. They feel the broken handoff, the repeated question, the conflicting answer, and the awkward escalation.

Without a shared control layer, agentic CX starts to drift. Customer experiences become inconsistent. Handoffs break down. Risk becomes harder to see, let alone manage. Measurement gets muddy because no one system owns the full journey. Costs rise as duplicated logic, duplicated governance, and duplicated knowledge pile up across the stack. The control plane is the clipboard for the age of AI magic. It doesn't make the spellbook less powerful. It makes that power usable at enterprise scale.

In a customer care context, Opus Research has been tracking this shift under a broader frame we call Conversation Experience Orchestration (CXO). CXO is the enterprise-owned layer that coordinates conversational and agentic activity across the stack rather than letting any single platform define the experience by itself. The AI agent control plane is the operational backbone of CXO. It's what turns the idea of "agentic orchestration" into something a brand can actually run.



A Shared Layer to Keep AI Agents Coordinated

An AI agent control plane keeps a growing population of AI agents from turning into a jumble of smart but poorly coordinated actors. It's the wizard's clipboard. It's the shared operating layer that sits above channels, applications, and agent runtimes and keeps the whole system from delivering chaos rather than well-coordinated customer experiences.

That shared layer has a few jobs. It keeps track of customer context across interactions. It applies rules about what an agent is allowed to do. It manages identity, access, and consent. It governs which knowledge sources should be trusted and when. It watches what agents actually do, measures the results, and creates a feedback loop for improvement. In other words, it gives enterprises a way to coordinate AI behavior across their messy real-world environment.

Plenty of products in the market today cover part of this ground, and we'll detail some of those later. Some orchestrate workflows well. Some focus on agent guardrails and approvals. Some manage context and retrieval. Some specialize in testing and evaluation. Those are all useful pieces. However, brands should not mistake any of them, on their own, for the full control plane.

Why Suite Vendors Cannot Contain the Journey

The same caution applies to the big platform vendors. A CCaaS vendor may do a solid job managing agents inside the contact center. A CRM vendor may be strong on customer records, permissions, and workflow triggers. A conversational AI platform may offer strong design tools and runtime controls. All of that clearly matters. But most enterprises don't live inside one neat vendor boundary, and customer journeys won't politely stay there either. They run across front-office systems, back-office systems, custom applications, knowledge bases, and a growing collection of AI services. A control plane must work across that sprawl, not just inside one product family.

That's why Opus Research treats the control plane as a coordinating layer rather than a single feature set, and as the operational core of CXO. It's where context, policy, identity, knowledge, and evaluation are made consistent enough to travel across the stack. Without that layer, each team ends up rebuilding its own version of governance, its own memory of the customer, and its own idea of acceptable behavior. The result is duplication at best and chaos at scale at worst. In Opus Research parlance, CXO is the strategic posture, and the control plane is what makes it real.

This doesn't mean enterprises need to buy one giant thing labeled control plane and call it a day; in fact, today that wouldn't even be possible. It does mean brands need a clear view of the functions that must exist, whether those functions come from a suite vendor, a specialist provider, internal tooling, or some combination of all three. That combination may not sound elegant. It does sound realistic. And at this stage of the market, realistic is more useful than tidy.

The Five Layers of an Agentic Control Plane

A full AI agent control plane isn't a single feature, a dashboard, or a new label slapped onto guardrails. It's a stack of capabilities that (ideally) work together to keep agentic CX coherent across vendors, channels, and workflows. Miss one layer and the whole thing gets shakier. An agent may be able to act, but it won't reliably know what the customer is trying to do, what it's allowed to do, what knowledge it should trust, or how anyone



will measure whether it did the right thing. At a minimum, Opus Research believes a complete agentic control plane needs five core layers:

- **Journey and intent state**
- **Identity and consent**
- **Policy and guardrails**
- **Knowledge governance**
- **Evaluation and continuous testing**

Figure 1: How the AI Agent Control Plane Coordinates CX





Featured Research Reports are available for clients and registered users only.

For more information on becoming an Opus Research client or to purchase the report, please contact:

*Peter Headrick
pheadrick@opusresearch.net
+1-415-505-2511*

About Opus Research

Opus Research is a diversified advisory and analysis firm providing critical insight on software and services that support digital transformation. Opus Research is focused on the merging of natural language understanding, agentic AI, conversational AI, LLMs, conversation intelligence, intelligent authentication, and the future of work. **www.opusresearch.net**

For sales inquires please e-mail info@opusresearch.net or call +1(415) 904-7666

This report shall be used solely for internal information purposes. Reproduction of this report without prior written permission is forbidden. Access to this report is limited to the license terms agreed to originally and any changes must be agreed upon in writing. The information contained herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable. However, Opus Research, Inc. accepts no responsibility whatsoever for the content or legality of the report. Opus Research, Inc. disclaims all warranties as to the accuracy, completeness or adequacy of such information. Further, Opus Research, Inc. shall have no liability for errors, omissions or inadequacies in the information contained herein or interpretations thereof. The opinions expressed herein may not necessarily coincide with the opinions and viewpoints of Opus Research, Inc. and are subject to change without notice.

Published May 2026 © Opus Research, Inc. All rights reserved.